

**ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY**



# **Turbulence Measurements During Spring of 1994 at Apache Point Observatory**

**by Frank D. Eaton, John R. Hines,  
and William H. Hatch  
Battlefield Environment Directorate**

**James J. Drexler and James Northrup  
Lockheed Engineering and Sciences Company**



ARL-TR-560

April 1995

**"Original contains color  
plates: All DTIC reproduct-  
ions will be in black and  
white"**

19950711 019

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

## **NOTICES**

### **Disclaimers**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### **Destruction Notice**

When this document is no longer needed, destroy it by any method that will prevent disclosure of its contents or reconstruction of the document.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE April 1995		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final 21 May through 25 Jun 94
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  Turbulence Measurements During Spring of 1994 at Apache Point Observatory			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S)  Frank D. Eaton, John R. Hines, and William H. Hatch (BED) James J. Drexler and James Northrup (LESC)				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U.S. Army Research Laboratory Battlefield Environment Directorate Attn: AMSRL-BE-E White Sands Missile Range NM 88002-5501			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  ARL-TR-560	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER  ARL-TR-560	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  Optical turbulence measurements taken using the Atmospheric Turbulence Measurement and Observation System (ATMOS) and an acoustic sounder at the newly established Apache Point Observatory (APO) are presented for spring 1994. APO is located at 9200 ft MSL about 18 mi south of Cloudcroft, NM. The ATMOS is an optical device for determining seeing conditions by measuring angle-of-arrival fluctuations through two subapertures of a telescope. This optical arrangement, utilizing a differential measurement technique, eliminates errors caused by tracking, vibration, and wind loading.  Results include all $r_0$ measurements, the surface wind measured at nearby Sacramento Peak, time-height displays of sodar observations, and wind and $C_n^2$ profile measurements taken with a 50-MHz radar located in the Tularosa Basin. A method under development to predict optical turbulence at APO is briefly discussed.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS  turbulence, $r_0$ , $C_n^2$ , sodar, ATMOS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES  72	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT  Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE  Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT  Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  SAR	

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. Site</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3. Methodology</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 <i>ATMOS</i>	9
3.2 <i>Sodar</i>	11
3.3 <i>50-MHz Radar</i>	12
<b>4. Results</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 <i>Transverse Coherence Length (<math>r_o</math>) Measurements</i>	13
4.2 <i>Surface Wind Data</i>	24
4.3 <i>Sodar Measurements</i>	24
4.4 <i>50-MHz Radar Measurements</i>	35
<b>5. Summary and Recommendations</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Acronyms and Abbreviations</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Distribution</b>	<b>57</b>

## Figures

1. Transverse coherence length measurements on 21 May 94	14
2. Transverse coherence length measurements on 22 May 94	15
3. Transverse coherence length measurements on 23 May 94	16
4. Transverse coherence length measurements on 8 Jun 94	17
5. Transverse coherence length measurements on 9 Jun 94	18
6. Transverse coherence length measurements on 23 Jun 94	19
7. Transverse coherence length measurements on 24 Jun 94	20
8. Transverse coherence length measurements on 25 Jun 94	21

9.	Diurnal composite of all data in figures 1 through 8 . . . . .	22
10.	Histogram of all $r_o$ measurements during spring 94 . . . . .	23
11.	Time series of wind speed and direction for 21, 22, and 23 May 94 . . . . .	25
12.	Wind rose of wind direction for 21, 22, and 23 May 94 . . . . .	26
13.	Time series of wind speed and direction for 8 and 9 Jun 94 . . . . .	27
14.	Wind rose of wind direction for 8 and 9 Jun 94 . . . . .	28
15.	Time series of wind speed and direction for 23, 24, and 25 Jun 94 . . . . .	29
16.	Wind rose of wind direction for 23, 24, and 25 Jun 94 . . . . .	30
17.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 21 May 94 . . . . .	31
18.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 22 May 94 . . . . .	31
19.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 23 May 94 . . . . .	32
20.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 9 Jun 94 . . . . .	32
21.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 24 Jun 94 from 0100 to 1700 UTC . . . . .	33
22.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 24 Jun 94 from 1953 to 2400 UTC . . . . .	33
23.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 25 Jun 94 . . . . .	34
24.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter showing pronounced wave activity . . . . .	34
25.	Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter showing a morning transition event . . . . .	35
26.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 21 May 94 . . . . .	36
27.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 22 May 94 . . . . .	37
28.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 23 May 94 . . . . .	38
29.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 9 Jun 94 . . . . .	39
30.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 0000 to 1100 GMT . . . . .	40
31.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 1200 to 2300 GMT . . . . .	41
32.	Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 25 Jun 94 . . . . .	42
33.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 21 May 94 . . . . .	43

34.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 22 May 94 . . . . .	44
35.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 23 May 94 . . . . .	45
36.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 9 Jun 94 . . . . .	46
37.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 0000 to 1100 GMT . . . . .	47
38.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 1200 to 2300 GMT . . . . .	48
39.	$C_n^2$ profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 25 Jun 94 . . . . .	49
40.	Individual $C_n^2$ profile expanded to show detail in vertical structure . . . . .	50

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification _____	
By _____	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

**\*Original contains color  
plates: All DTIC reproduct-  
ions will be in black and  
white\***

# 1. Introduction

The refractive index structure parameter ( $C_n^2$ ) and derived parameters such as the transverse coherence length ( $r_o$ ) and the isoplanatic angle ( $\theta_o$ ) are of fundamental importance in understanding optical, radio, and acoustic propagation in the atmosphere and are of considerable interest to many remote sensing efforts. Optical turbulence has imposed a major problem upon optical astronomy, degrading imagery observed with the large telescopes at major observatories. Ground-based optical systems, thermosondes, and remote sensors are used for sensing optical turbulence parameters. [1] High resolution  $C_n^2$  profiles can be obtained from radar or sodar measurements.

Brant et al. [2] provided measured seeing values at several observatories as well as daytime seeing statistics at Sacramento Peak Observatory (SPO), NM. They cautioned comparing absolute values because of different techniques used; different local effects, such as dome seeing; calibration of different systems; and the validity of the particular zenith angle correction used. The measured results from SPO were taken using the solar vacuum telescope with the entrance aperture 40 m above the ground. [2] The  $r_o$  values are predicted to exceed those that would be taken using a conventional near-surface dome, because no dome was used and the configuration avoided a large contribution of turbulence from near-ground convection.

The results presented here include  $r_o$  values and  $C_n^2$  time-height displays taken at Apache Point Observatory (APO), surface wind measurements taken from nearby SPO, and profiles of  $C_n^2$  and wind measured using a 50-MHz radar at White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), NM.

## 2. Site

APO is an astronomical observatory located in the Lincoln National Forest in the Sacramento Mountains at an altitude of 2800 m (9200 ft) MSL. It is owned and operated by the Astrophysical Research Consortium consisting of the University of Chicago, Institute of Advanced Study, Johns Hopkins University, New Mexico State University, Princeton University, University of Washington, and Washington State University. APO was recently established for operation (10 May 94) when the 3.5-m multipurpose telescope observed a solar eclipse. This telescope is located at  $32^{\circ} 46' 50.4''$  N,  $105^{\circ} 49' 11.7''$  W. Three other telescopes at APO are in various stages of completion.

One unique-purpose telescope under design is the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) telescope that will be used under appropriate seeing conditions to survey the night sky with sensitive imaging equipment. The enclosure for the SDSS telescope was constructed on pillars west of the mountain ridge to reduce degrading turbulent conditions, because excellent seeing is a requirement for this effort. The Atmospheric Turbulence Measurement and Observation System (ATMOS) used in this study was mounted atop the pedestal constructed for future installation of the 2.5-m SDSS telescope. The sodar was installed on the ridge southeast of the SDSS enclosure.

The 50-MHz radar used in this study is located at  $32^{\circ} 24'$  N,  $106^{\circ} 21'$  W at 1220 m MSL; approximately 13 km east of the post area at WSMR, NM. It is one of four radar systems operated at the Atmospheric Profiler Research Facility (APRF) in the Tularosa Basin about 25 km east of the Organ Mountains and 68 km southeast of APO. The local area of the APRF is characterized by flat terrain covered with low brush. The APRF is upwind of APO because the prevalent winds in the area are generally southwesterly to westerly.

### 3. Methodology

Measurements were taken at APO on 21, 22, and 23 May and 8, 9, 23, 24, and 25 Jun 94 to examine the turbulent conditions during late spring at the SDSS site. The tests involved the use of two systems at APO: ATMOS and a single-axis sodar. Simultaneous profile measurements of wind and  $C_n^2$  were taken using the 50-MHz radar at the APRF. Supporting surface measurements of wind were taken using a Surface Atmospheric Measurement System (SAMS) at the National Solar Observatory at Sacramento Peak.

#### 3.1 ATMOS

The classical astronomical seeing problem was put on a more quantitative basis than in the past by the formulation of the transverse coherence length ( $r_o$ ): [3]

$$r_o = [0.423k^2 \int_0^\infty C_n^2(z)dz]^{-3/5} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k &= 2\pi/\lambda \text{ (}\lambda \text{ is the wavelength of light)} \\ C_n^2 &= \text{refractive index structure parameter} \\ z &= \text{altitude above ground for vertical viewing.} \end{aligned}$$

Effects of atmospheric turbulence on optical systems sensitive to wavefront distortions can be conveniently evaluated with knowledge of  $r_o$ . Selection and evaluation of observatory sites traditionally required an examination of astronomical seeing. Methods to appraise seeing have been used for over 50 yr, including measurements of image spread and motion by photographing star trails and photoelectric detection of scintillation. [4,5,6,7] The Polaris seeing monitor was used for the site selection of Kitt Peak National Observatory. [8] The literature displays a natural application of the evolution of technology to seeing monitors, such as replacing film techniques with linear-array charge-coupled devices (CCDs) and, finally, CCD cameras. The analyses of line-spread function information, obtained from stellar images using linear-array CCDs to

obtain  $r_o$  from the modulation transfer function as well as the differential image motion technique used in this study, are documented by Eaton et al. [9] Roddier [10] and Fried [11] summarized the single and differential image motion techniques for evaluating seeing conditions.

ATMOS uses a differential angle-of-arrival approach by collecting light from a single star with two subapertures (11-cm diameter) on a 35.5-cm (14-in.) diameter telescope. The subapertures have optical glass wedges so the two images can be separated and focused onto a two-dimensional CCD camera placed at the focal plane. Two nearly parallel paths of turbulence are measured at 23.5 cm separation. The method eliminates common system errors caused by tracking errors, vibration, and wind loading, because such effects will display identical image motion and not be included in the variance of centroid differences. ATMOS includes a frame grabber that can vary exposure time and frame rates to more than 300 frames/s. The CCD camera is intensified and uses an electronic shutter capable of exposure times as short as 20  $\mu$ s.

The relationship used in this study to calculate  $r_o$  with ATMOS is

$$r_o = \left\{ \frac{27.5 F^2}{k^2 d^{1/3} \sigma_r^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{25}{36} \left( \frac{d}{\mu} \right)^{1/3} \right] \right\}^{3/5} \quad (2)$$

where

- |              |   |   |
|--------------|---|---|
| F            | = | the focal length of the receiving telescope                                   |
| d            | = | the diameter of each subaperture  |
| $\mu$        | = | center-to-center separation of the subapertures                               |
| $\sigma_r^2$ | = | mean-square of the relative distance between the centroids of the two images. |

### 3.2 Sodar

Sodar (sonic detection and ranging) detects the temperature structure function ( $C_T^2$ ) and, ultimately, provides  $C_n^2$  through calculations using simultaneously sampled ambient temperature and pressure values.

The sodar equation is

$$C_T^2 = \frac{P_r T_o^2 e^{2\alpha R} L_e}{E_r [P_t \cdot E_t] \left[ \left( \frac{c\tau}{2} \right) \left( \frac{A}{R^2} \cdot G \right) \right] [0.0039k^{1/3}]} \quad (3)$$

where

$P_t$	=	transmitted power
$P_r$	=	received power
$R$	=	range
$k$	=	acoustic wave number
$T_o$	=	surface temperature
$\alpha$	=	molecular and classical adsorption
$E_r$	=	receiver efficiency
$E_t$	=	transmitter efficiency
$c$	=	speed of sound
$\tau$	=	pulse length
$A$	=	antenna area
$G$	=	antenna directivity
$L_e$	=	excess attenuation coefficient.

The sodar is an Echosonde model single-axis system manufactured by Radian Corporation. Three-axis systems are used for obtaining doppler winds. The sodar is typically operated at 1850 Hz and 75-W transmitted power using a

40-ms pulse length and a 1.5-m (5-ft) diameter antenna. Backscatter is averaged every 10 s in 200 range gates to obtain  $C_n^2$  from 30 to 1024 m AGL. Some researchers obtain  $C_n^2$  values from sodar by comparing the backscattered values to in situ values obtained from tower sensors. Calibration of the sodar used in this study was accomplished by a first principles approach.

### 3.3 50-MHz Radar

Radar parameters of the 50-MHz radar, operated at a nominal central frequency of 49.25 MHz and located at the APRF at WSMR, are described in Nastrom and Eaton. [12] The system is identical to the NASA 50-MHz radar located at Cape Kennedy, except the WSMR system is calibrated for  $C_n^2$ . The NASA system is used for wind shear measurements during space shuttle operations. Received power for the WSMR system is observed for 1 min along each of the three beams to derive  $C_n^2$ , wind, and spectral width. Pulse coding applied to each 8- $\mu$ s transmitted pulse produces 1- $\mu$ s nominal pulse lengths to provide 150-m resolution along each axis. Sampling is from 3.22 to 20 km MSL altitude in 112 range gates. The performance of this radar is high because of the large antenna (150-m diameter) and 250-kW transmitted peak power, producing a power aperture product of  $1 \times 10^8$  Wm. Nastrom and Eaton [12] showed that upward-propagating gravity waves enhance  $C_n^2$  and mechanical turbulence into the lower stratosphere over WSMR.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Transverse Coherence Length ( $r_o$ ) Measurements

Transverse coherence length ( $r_o$ ) measurements were taken during three periods: 21, 22, and 23 May 94; 8 and 9 Jun 94; and 23, 24, and 25 June 94. All results are evaluated for a 0.5- $\mu\text{m}$  wavelength and corrected for zenith viewing. Figures 1, 2, and 3 display the results from the first data set in May. The transverse coherence length  $r_o$  is labelled "r-naught" in the figures. Arcturus was sensed during the first 2 h at fairly high zenith angles in a direction over the Tularosa Basin, possibly contributing to the rapid increase in values. Vega was sensed from about 3:00 to 5:00 MST in a direction over forested terrain. The next 2 days of data collection showed values fluctuating from a low near 1 cm to a high around 15 cm with the majority of the values near 8 cm. The next data run, 8 to 9 Jun, showed somewhat higher values on the average, as seen in figures 4 and 5. Figures 6, 7, and 8 present the results for the last data session, 23, 24, and 25 Jun. The values were, in general, low on 23 Jun with a slight trend of increasing  $r_o$  values into the first few hours of 24 Jun. Measurements commenced during midafternoon and showed low  $r_o$  values, as was expected because of surface convective activity. From about 17:00 to 19:00 MST,  $r_o$  increased dramatically from about 2.5 to over 16 cm. This effect is attributed to entering the evening neutral event and is probably enhanced because of the strong solar heating on the west-facing slope above which the measurements were taken. For the remainder of the night, the  $r_o$  values fluctuated between about 10 to 14 cm.

Figure 9 shows all the data collected during the 8 days plotted together versus time, which displays the large variability that can be found even for a limited time period and conditions. The least variability shown is during the afternoon hours in which surface turbulence contributes heavily to the total profile. Figure 10 displays a histogram of the total 1368  $r_o$  measurements. Generally,  $r_o$  and  $C_n^2$  are found to show a lognormal or near lognormal distribution. [13,14] The distribution (figure 10) would appear more lognormal if there were an even distribution of measurements diurnally, with more low daytime values.

Apache Point Observatory, 21 May 1994  
Differential Image Motion r-naught







Figure 1. Transverse coherence length measurements on 21 May 94.




**Figure 2.** Transverse coherence length measurements on 22 May 94.




**Figure 3. Transverse coherence length measurements on 23 May 94.**




**Figure 4.** Transverse coherence length measurements on 8 Jun 94.



**Figure 5. Transverse coherence length measurements on 9 Jun 94.**




**Figure 6. Transverse coherence length measurements on 23 Jun 94.**




**Figure 7. Transverse coherence length measurements on 24 Jun 94.**

Apache Point Observatory, 25 June 1994  
**Differential Image Motion r-naught**




**Figure 8. Transverse coherence length measurements on 25 Jun 94.**



**Figure 9. Diurnal composite of all data in figures 1 through 8.**

**APO May, June '94 Distribution of  
Differential Image Motion r-naught**




**Figure 10. Histogram of all  $r_0$  measurements during spring 94.**

## 4.2 Surface Wind Data

The surface wind speed and direction data versus time for the three measurement periods are shown in figures 11, 13, and 15 while figures 12, 14, and 16 show wind roses of direction for the same periods. In general, wind speeds were low, rarely exceeding 5 kn. The wind direction is of particular interest. It is assumed that well-developed flow from the western side of the ridge will produce the best seeing because of less turbulence being advected from above the Tularosa Basin than from over ground surfaces. There are few examples of flow from the basin direction during the measurement periods. Figure 14 displays a westerly contribution, but figure 13 shows that this is based on a limited number of data points. The westerly flow in figure 16 is mostly during afternoon, a slope-wind effect caused by surface heating.


## 4.3 Sodar Measurements

Figures 17 through 19 show sodar-obtained time-height displays of backscattered power during times similar to when  $r_o$  was measured during the first measurement period. Some wave activity, reaching several hundred meters above the surface, occurs on all 3 days. The sodar results for 9 Jun 94 (figure 20) show the measurements that correspond to the second measurement period. Turbulence was only detected in the lowest part of the profile. The lack of turbulence above this level agrees with the increase of  $r_o$  values when compared to the first data session. The last data run (figures 21 through 23) shows a general decrease in nighttime turbulence over the period with considerable wave activity at the beginning. This also agrees with the  $r_o$  pattern. Figure 24 is an example of multilayered turbulence at APO, showing the undulations caused by gravity waves. Figure 25 shows an example of a morning transition event with convective activity occurring from about 1500 UTC.



**Figure 11. Time series of wind speed and direction for 21, 22, and 23 May 94.**

## Apache Point Observatory May 21, 22, 23 1994



Relative Wind Direction (% of 68 observations)

Figure 12. Wind rose of wind direction for 21, 22, and 23 May 94.





Figure 13. Time series of wind speed and direction for 8 and 9 Jun 94.

## Apache Point Observatory June 8 and 9, 1994



Relative Wind Direction (% of 37 observations)

Figure 14. Wind rose of wind direction for 8 and 9 Jun 94.






Figure 15. Time series of wind speed and direction for 23, 24, and 25 Jun 94.

# Apache Point Observatory June 23,24,25, 1994




Relative Wind Direction (% of 127 observations)


Figure 16. Wind rose of wind direction for 23, 24, and 25 Jun 94.




**Figure 17. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 21 May 94.**




**Figure 18. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 22 May 94.**




**Figure 19. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 23 May 94.**




**Figure 20. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 9 Jun 94.**




**Figure 21. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 24 Jun 94 from 0100 to 1700 UTC.**




**Figure 22. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 24 Jun 94 from 1953 to 2400 UTC.**



**Figure 23. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter on 25 Jun 94.**



**Figure 24. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter showing pronounced wave activity.**



**Figure 25. Time-height display of sodar-obtained backscatter showing a morning transition event.**

#### **4.4 50-MHz Radar Measurements**

Surface wind measurements are often affected by local features. Free air profiles of wind can be measured using doppler radars such as the 50-MHz radar at the APRF. This system also measures profiles of  $C_n^2$ . Figures 26 through 32 show 12 h of hourly-averaged wind profiles for each of the 8 days  $r_0$  was measured. The first two data periods showed most of the wind to be generally westerly above about 4 km MSL with the highest wind speeds on 23 May between 10 and 15 km MSL. The results during the last data run show mostly northerly flow throughout most of the troposphere. Hourly averages of  $C_n^2$  obtained from 50-MHz radar measurements are shown in figures 33 through 39, corresponding to the wind measurements previously shown. The results show several persistent layers of  $C_n^2$  that are transported with the wind. Figure 40 displays an individual  $C_n^2$  profile, illustrating the layering found in the atmosphere.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 26. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 21 May 94.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 27. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 22 May 94.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 28. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 23 May 94.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NIM 1222 M




Figure 29. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 9 Jun 94.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 30. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 0000 to 1100 GMT.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 31. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 1200 to 2300 GMT.

# Wind Speed and Direction (Wind Barbs) ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 32. Wind speed and direction measured with the 50-MHz radar on 25 Jun 94.

# Cn2 Profile - Multi EAST BEAM ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz USMR, NM 1222 M





Figure 33.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 21 May 94.



**Figure 34.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 22 May 94.**

# Cn2 Profile - Multi EAST BEAM ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 35.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 23 May 94.

# Cn2 Profile - Multi EAST BEAM ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M





Figure 36.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 9 Jun 94.

# Cn2 Profile - Multi EAST BEAM ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz USMR, NM 1222 M

STD ATM  
PRESSURE (MB)

HEIGHT  
MSL (KM)



-20-19-18-17-16-15-14

24-JUN-1994  
11:00  
GMT

LOG Ch\*\*2

24-JUN-1994  
00:00  
GMT

Figure 37.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 0000 to 1100 GMT.

# Cn2 Profile - Multi EAST BEAM ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 38.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 24 Jun 94 from 1200 to 2300 GMT.

# Cn2 Profile - Multi EAST BEAM ALL MODES

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 39.  $C_n^2$  profiles measured with the 50-MHz radar on 25 Jun 94.

# Plot of Returned Power ALL MODE

ARL 50MHz WSMR, NM 1222 M




Figure 40. Individual  $C_n^2$  profile expanded to show detail in vertical structure.

## 5. Summary and Recommendations

Three main points regarding  $r_o$  emerge from the results of this study during spring 94 at APO:

1. Considerable variability in  $r_o$  values, ranging from 1 to 18 cm, was found during nighttime. Data were collected under a variety of wind conditions with the surface wind predominantly coming from forested areas.
2. The onset of the evening neutral event showed a dramatic increase in  $r_o$ , presumably caused by radiation balance and associated sensible heat changes on the west-facing slope immediately below ATMOS.
3. Sodar-derived time-height displays of backscattered power (proportional to  $C_n^2$ ) data obtained at APO show obvious large-scale trends, agreeing with the trends and patterns of  $r_o$ . These sodar and  $r_o$  results demonstrate the contribution of local meteorological conditions to  $r_o$ .

Recommendations for future research examining  $r_o$  effects at APO include the following:

1. Measure  $r_o$  under different conditions for an extended period to include different wind speed and wind direction conditions, jet stream effects, seasonal effects (with particular emphasis on snow cover), diurnal variation under various atmospheric stabilities, and the evolution of the morning and evening neutral periods.
2. Correlate simultaneous sodar measurements at APO, local meteorological measurements, and 50-MHz radar measurements with the  $r_o$  data to develop a predictive seeing tool. Various parameters calculated from the data such as stability, wind shear, eddy dissipation rate, and gravity wave effects are expected to enhance the predictive capability over correlations of raw data.

## References

1. Eaton, F. D., W. A. Peterson, J. R. Hines, K. R. Peterman, R. E. Good, R. R. Beland, and J. H. Brown, "Comparisons of VHF Radar, Optical, and Temperature Fluctuation Measurements of  $C_n^2$ ,  $r_o$ , and  $\theta_o$ ," *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 39, pp. 17-29, 1988.
2. Brandt, P. N., H. A. Mauter, and R. Smartt, "Day-Time Seeing Statistics at SPO," *Astronomical Astrophysics*, 188, pp. 163-168, 1987.
3. Fried, D. L., "Optical Resolution Through a Randomly Inhomogeneous Medium for Very Long and Very Short Exposures," *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, 567, pp. 1372-1379, 1966.
4. Babcock, H. W., "Instrumental Recording of Astronomical Seeing," *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, pp. 1-8, 1963.
5. Couder, A., "Optique Atmospherique-Measure Photographique de l'Agitation des Images Stellaires," *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des s'eances de L'Acad'emie des Sciences, Paris*, 205, pp. 609-611, 1936.
6. Harlan, E. A., and M. F. Walker, "A Star-Trail Telescope for Astronomical Site-Testing," *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 77, pp. 246-252, 1965.
7. Whitford, A. E., and J. Stebbins, "Photoelectric Measurement of Scintillation of Stars," *Publications of American Astronomy*, 8:228, 1936.
8. Meinel, A. B., "Astronomical Seeing and Observatory Site Selection," *Telescopes*, (ed. G. P. Kuiper and B. M. Middlehurst), Univ. Chicago Press, 1960.

9. Eaton, F. D., W. A. Peterson, J. R. Hines, J. J. Drexler, A. H. Waldie, and D. B. Soules, "Comparison of Two Techniques for Determining Atmospheric Seeing," In *Proceedings SPIE*, Vol. 926, Orlando, FL, pp. 319-334, 1988.
10. Roddier, F., "The Effects of Atmospheric Turbulence in Optical Astronomy," *Progressive Optics*, XIX:283-368, North Holland, 1981.
11. Fried, D. L., "Differential Angle of Arrival: Theory, Evaluation, and Measurement Feasibility," *Radio Science*, 10, pp. 77-86, 1975.
12. Nastrom, G. D., and F. D. Eaton, "The Coupling of Gravity Waves and Turbulence at White Sands, New Mexico, from VHF Radar Observations," *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 81-87, 1983.
13. Clifford, S. F., "The Classical Theory of Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium," *Laser Beam Propagation in the Atmosphere*, edited by J. W. Strohbehm, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 11-41, 1978.
14. Kallistratova, M. A., and D. F. Timanovskiy, "The Distribution of the Structure Constant of Refractive Index Fluctuations in the Atmospheric Surface Layer, Izv.," *Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 73-75, 1971.

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

APO	Apache Point Observatory
APRF	Atmospheric Profiler Research Facility
ATMOS	Atmospheric Turbulence Measurement and Observation System
CCD	charge-coupled device
SAMS	Surface Atmospheric Measurement System
SDSS	Sloan Digital Sky Survey
SPO	Sacramento Peak Observatory
WSMR	White Sands Missile Range

## Distribution

	Copies
ARMY CHEMICAL SCHOOL ATZN CM CC ATTN MR BARNES FT MCCLELLAN AL 36205-5020	1
NASA MARSHAL SPACE FLT CTR ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES DIV E501 ATTN DR FICHTL HUNTSVILLE AL 35802	1
NASA SPACE FLT CTR ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES DIV CODE ED 41 1 HUNTSVILLE AL 35812	1
ARMY STRAT DEFNS CMND CSSD SL L ATTN DR LILLY PO BOX 1500 HUNTSVILLE AL 35807-3801	1
ARMY MISSILE CMND AMSMI RD AC AD ATTN DR PETERSON REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5242	1
ARMY MISSILE CMND AMSMI RD AS SS ATTN MR H F ANDERSON REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5253	1
ARMY MISSILE CMND AMSMI RD AS SS ATTN MR B WILLIAMS REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5253	1

ARMY MISSILE CMND AMSMI RD DE SE ATTN MR GORDON LILL JR REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5245	1
ARMY MISSILE CMND REDSTONE SCI INFO CTR AMSMI RD CS R DOC REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5241	1
ARMY MISSILE CMND AMSMI REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5253	1
ARMY INTEL CTR AND FT HUACHUCA ATSI CDC C FT HUACHUCA AZ 85613-7000	1
NORTHROP CORPORATION ELECTR SYST DIV ATTN MRS T BROHAUGH 2301 W 120TH ST BOX 5032 HAWTHORNE CA 90251-5032	1
NAVAL WEAPONS CTR CODE 3331 ATTN DR SHLANTA CHINA LAKE CA 93555	1
PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CTR GEOPHYSICS DIV ATTN CODE 3250 POINT MUGU CA 93042-5000	1
LOCKHEED MIS & SPACE CO ATTN KENNETH R HARDY ORG 91 01 B 255 3251 HANOVER STREET PALO ALTO CA 94304-1191	1

NAVAL OCEAN SYST CTR CODE 54 ATTN DR RICHTER SAN DIEGO CA 92152-5000	1
METEOROLOGIST IN CHARGE KWAJALEIN MISSILE RANGE PO BOX 67 APO SAN FRANCISCO CA 96555	1
DEPT OF COMMERCE CTR MOUNTAIN ADMINISTRATION SPRRT CTR LIBRARY R 51 325 S BROADWAY BOULDER CO 80303	1
DR HANS J LIEBE NTIA ITS S 3 325 S BROADWAY BOULDER CO 80303	1
NCAR LIBRARY SERIALS NATL CTR FOR ATMOS RSCH PO BOX 3000 BOULDER CO 80307-3000	1
DEPT OF COMMERCE CTR 325 S BROADWAY BOULDER CO 80303	1
DAMI POI WASH DC 20310-1067	1
MIL ASST FOR ENV SCI OFC OF THE UNDERSEC OF DEFNS FOR RSCH & ENGR R&AT E LS PENTAGON ROOM 3D129 WASH DC 20301-3080	1
DEAN RMD ATTN DR GOMEZ WASH DC 20314	1

SPACE NAVAL WARFARE SYST CMND PMW 145 1G WASH DC 20362-5100	1
ARMY INFANTRY ATSH CD CS OR ATTN DR E DUTOIT FT BENNING GA 30905-5090	1
AIR WEATHER SERVICE TECH LIBRARY FL4414 3 SCOTT AFB IL 62225-5458	1
USAFETAC DNE ATTN MR GLAUBER SCOTT AFB IL 62225-5008	1
HQ AWS DOO 1 SCOTT AFB IL 62225-5008	1
ARMY SPACE INSTITUTE ATTN ATZI SI 3 FT LEAVENWORTH KS 66027-5300	1
PHILLIPS LABORATORY PL LYP ATTN MR CHISHOLM HANSCOM AFB MA 01731-5000	1
ATMOSPHERIC SCI DIV GEOPHYSICS DIRCTRT PHILLIPS LABORATORY HANSCOM AFB MA 01731-5000	1
PHILLIPS LABORATORY PL LYP 3 HANSCOM AFB MA 01731-5000	1
RAYTHEON COMPANY ATTN DR SONNENSCHNEIN 528 BOSTON POST ROAD SUDBURY MA 01776 MAIL STOP 1K9	1

ARMY MATERIEL SYST ANALYSIS ACTIVITY AMXSY ATTN MP H COHEN APG MD 21005-5071	1
ARMY MATERIEL SYST ANALYSIS ACTIVITY AMXSY AT ATTN MR CAMPBELL APG MD 21005-5071	1
ARMY MATERIEL SYST ANALYSIS ACTIVITY AMXSY CR ATTN MR MARCHET APG MD 21005-5071	1
ARL CHEMICAL BIOLOGY NUC EFFECTS DIV AMSRL SL CO APG MD 21010-5423	1
ARMY MATERIEL SYST ANALYSIS ACTIVITY AMXSY APG MD 21005-5071	1
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY CODE 4110 ATTN MR RUHNKE WASH DC 20375-5000	1
ARMY MATERIEL SYST ANALYSIS ACTIVITY AMXSY CS ATTN MR BRADLEY APG MD 21005-5071	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL D 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL OP SD TP TECHNICAL PUBLISHING 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL OP CI SD TL 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL SS SH ATTN DR SZTANKAY 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1
NATIONAL SECURITY AGCY W21 ATTN DR LONGBOTHUM 9800 SAVAGE ROAD FT GEORGE G MEADE MD 20755-6000	1
ARMY AVIATION CTR ATZQ D MA ATTN MR HEATH FT RUCKER AL 36362	1
OIC NAVSWC TECH LIBRARY CODE E 232 SILVER SPRINGS MD 20903-5000	1
ARMY RSRC OFC ATTN DRXRO GS PO BOX 12211 RTP NC 27009	1
DR JERRY DAVIS NCSU PO BOX 8208 RALEIGH NC 27650-8208	1

ARMY CCREL CECRL GP ATTN DR DETSCH HANOVER NH 03755-1290	1
ARMY ARDEC SMCAR IMI I BLDG 59 DOVER NJ 07806-5000	1
ARMY SATELLITE COMM AGCY DRCPM SC 3 FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5303	1
ARMY COMMUNICATIONS ELECTR CTR FOR EW RSTA AMSEL EW D FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5303	1
ARMY COMMUNICATIONS ELECTR CTR FOR EW RSTA AMSEL EW MD FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5303	1
ARMY DUGWAY PROVING GRD STEDP MT DA L 3 DUGWAY UT 84022-5000	1
ARMY DUGWAY PROVING GRD STEDP MT M ATTN MR BOWERS DUGWAY UT 84022-5000	1
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE OL A 2D WEATHER SQUAD MAC HOLLOMAN AFB NM 88330-5000	1
PL WE KIRTLAND AFB NM 87118-6008	1
USAF ROME LAB TECH CORRIDOR W STE 262 RL SUL 26 ELECTR PKWY BLD 106 GRIFFISS AFB NY 13441-4514	1

AFMC DOW WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 0334-5000	1
ARMY FIELD ARTLLRY SCHOOL ATSF TSM TA FT SILL OK 73503-5600	1
NAVAL AIR DEV CTR CODE 5012 ATTN AL SALIK WARMINISTER PA 18974	1
ARMY FOREGN SCI TECH CTR CM 220 7TH STREET NE CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22901-5396	1
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CTR CODE G63 DAHLGREN VA 22448-5000	1
ARMY OEC CSTE EFS PARK CENTER IV 4501 FORD AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22302-1458	1
ARMY CORPS OF ENGRS ENGR TOPOGRAPHICS LAB ETL GS LB FT BELVOIR VA 22060	1
TAC DOWP LANGLEY AFB VA 23665-5524	1
ARMY TOPO ENGR CTR CETEC ZC 1 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5546	1
LOGISTICS CTR ATCL CE FT LEE VA 23801-6000	1

SCI AND TECHNOLOGY 101 RESEARCH DRIVE HAMPTON VA 23666-1340	1
ARMY NUCLEAR CML AGCY MONA ZB BLDG 2073 SPRINGFIELD VA 22150-3198	1
ARMY FIELD ARTLLRY SCHOOL ATSF F FD FT SILL OK 73503-5600	1
USATRADO ATCD FA FT MONROE VA 23651-5170	1
ARMY TRADOC ANALYSIS CTR ATRC WSS R WSMR NM 88002-5502	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL BE M BATTLEFIELD ENVIR DIR WSMR NM 88002-5501	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL BE A BATTLEFIELD ENVIR DIR WSMR NM 88002-5501	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL BE W BATTLEFIELD ENVIR DIR WSMR NM 88002-5501	1
ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY AMSRL BE ATTN MR VEAZEY BATTLEFIELD ENVIR DIR WSMR NM 88002-5501	1
DEFNS TECH INFO CTR CENTER DTIC BLS BLDG 5 CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA VA 22304-6145	1

ARMY MISSILE CMND AMSMI REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5243	1
ARMY DUGWAY PROVING GRD STEDP 3 DUGWAY UT 84022-5000	1
USATRADO ATCD FA FT MONROE VA 23651-5170	1
ARMY FIELD ARTLRY SCHOOL ATSF FT SILL OK 73503-5600	1
WSMR TECH LIBRARY BR STEWIS IM IT WSMR NM 88001	1
Record Copy	10
TOTAL	96